

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

1911 .  
( *Muzrai* )  
File No. 350

Serial Nos. 164

---

Papers Filed.

Month *January 1911*

---

SUBJECT.

Excommunication of one Hanumantha  
Attendant <sup>in</sup> of Sri Vidamardz Ranganatha  
Swami Temple at Devanahalli  
Channagiri Taluk

---

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

129 of 1903

LATER REFERENCES.

## GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( muznai )

## NOTES.

File No. 350-11

## FILE HEADING.

Excommunication of one Hanumanthiah, attendant of the oldamaru Ranganathaswamy Temple at Devarahalli, Channarayana Taluk.

From

Ramacharya of Chakonahalli Bhattacharya matt at Patnagiri,  
Sankaragiri

No. Pkhtian Dated 23.5.1911 Received

|                |                           |            |
|----------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Diary No. 1855 | Enclos. 124 Spare Copies. | Serial No. |
|----------------|---------------------------|------------|

## BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that Hanumanthiah ref<sup>d</sup> to has violated the laws of his religion and that he should not be permitted to enter the Temple unless he obtains a "Suddha" Patuka from the matt.

## NOTES AND ORDERS

Sl. 2. To, The Dy Commr, Mysore Dist<sup>ct</sup>  
 C. No. 3025 of 23.5.1911  
 Forwards Sl. 1 for disposal.  
 To, Ramacharya,  
 Encl. No. 3026 of 23.5.1911

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial  
No.

3. Intimates the above.  
From, the Dy. Commr, Pannaga Dist.  
Letter no 124 Dy 10 11. 1911  
Submits report on 8c 1.  
Submitted with a dft for appl or other.  
By  
31. 1912 26, other records and orders  
appear to be necessary.  
The file may be sent to the in the above  
file or file recorded and the printed orders of 1887  
and 1889 being also sent.

By  
31/1/1912

Flag A

Flag B

Copies of printed orders no 4850-58/120  
of 12<sup>th</sup> Sept 1887 and no 7408-15/209 of 24<sup>th</sup>  
Feby 1889 are herewith submitted; tags therewith.  
The ref. file. recorded

Submitted for order to Second Counciler

By  
6. 1. 1912 26 One Hammadu Karyya is reported  
to have beaten his brother Karyya.

A criminal case ended in the discharge of the  
Hammadu Karyya. Pet. Ramacharya is admitted  
to the village of the parties. It is also admitted  
that Hammadu Karyya underwent a puri-  
fication ceremony at the hands of his guru.

Pet. said that Hammadu Karyya is not  
yet therefore to be admitted for service in the  
ple. Hammadu Karyya says he has been puri-  
fied by one Tata Chari of the village of the parties.

Serial  
No.

a furu. No certificate has been produced, he  
his <sup>arms</sup> ~~hands~~ bore marks of fresh branding.

He testified upon that he is entitled to work  
in the Temple.

The District refers to the order passed in Miss.  
Kotn Verkhastha's case and seeks order about  
admitting Hanumanthappa for work.

The circumstances under which orders of re-  
communication can be given effect to  
are laid down in the guidelines noted  
below: -

(i) No 4850-58 of 12<sup>th</sup> September 1887  
120

(ii) No 4408-15 of 24<sup>th</sup> February 1889  
209

(iii) No 4922-30 of 20<sup>th</sup> April 1904  
Muz. 14-1895

In the present case there is nothing to show  
that the order of recommunication given by  
Kannacharya has been given effect to. Further  
there is nothing to show that he has been told  
an enquiry & wrote the recommunication order  
& declared Hanumanthappa unfit to enter Temple.  
In these circumstances there can be no objection  
to the admission of Hanumanthappa.

3/4

A. K. S. S. S.


11/1/12

Serial  
No.

Was any list prepared in accordance with Para 2 of go 28.2.89 (B)? If so let me see it.

There is no sufficient reason to shut the man out of the temple. an encl<sup>e</sup> with reasons stated might be sent to per<sup>r</sup> Ramacharya

11/1/12


atp dft encl<sup>e</sup>187  
1.1.12

Submit with a dft list

M (1322)  
12.1.12

Drift submitted to Gen  
Committee.

Gen General R. S. S. docket No 1037 of 14  
October 1905 in file No. 129 of 1903 it was found  
that the papers of S. S. S. (deceased) in 1889  
submitted to the Gen in 1870 and were  
not returned by him. Copies of these papers are  
called for from the Gen in 1870 in Nov 1905.

Serial  
No

There are also in that the file of 129 of 1903.

It is when therefore that no order of excom.

communication issued by the Mats who communicated  
in 1907

to be enforced by the officers in the Kolar, Tumkur  
Shimoga, Kuvana & Chitaldurg D.S.

In the Hassan D.S. except in the case of the

Shivara-Belagola Matt there are no references  
to show that any Mats communicated  
orders of excommunication for enforcement  
by the officers.

In the Bangalore D.S. the Begar Saffar

Matt, Muthi Matt and V. S. Matt in  
the Bangalore Taluk and the Shivaganga Matt  
in the Melamangala Taluk, are related  
is there in the form of excommunication;  
but such excommunication has not been com-  
municated to the officers for execution.

Thus still of the being no order except that of  
Shivara-Belagola Matt should be enforced;  
but I suppose we must recognize the form  
of other heads of important Mats also.

342

Abraham

19.1.1912

The matter need not be

Serial  
No.

opened now We may deal  
with actual questions as they  
arise -

22/1/12

Issue his orders duff

24.1.1912

4. To Ramacharya, Patnagiri, Tanikere Taluk  
No 1730 D 30<sup>th</sup> January 1912.

... Informs him that as there are no  
references to show that the orders of  
~~express~~ excommunication given by him  
were ever given effect to, it is not possible  
to issue an order from Govt preventing  
Hammantiah from entering upon his  
duties in the temple.

J. Dy Commr, Shimoga D No 1731 D 30-1-1912  
-sonwa to Copy of Serial B. 4

89.1. 5. 1911

1855

శ్రీమత్తమిషుని శాస్త్రీన రమణమూర్తి  
నకల్లెరిని శాస్త్రీని దురదృష్టవశాత్తూ

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

[illegible][illegible]

మల్లసేపి చోకినా నడుపుకొర్రా వూరము చుట్టెరచు చొక్కనా



N<sup>o</sup> 3025-  
Mus ~~1350-11-2~~ In 28/28/15  
GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA  
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.....

The accompanying Petition Registered  
No...../858.....dated .....  
received at the General and Revenue

Secretariat from ..... Ramachar.....  
of the Bhattacharya Math.....

at Patnagere Chakrasahalli

stating that Hanuman  
Thayya, ~~attendant~~ of the Sri  
Uramaradi Ranganaatha-  
Swami temple at Devara-

halli, Chennagiri Taluk,

has ~~acted~~ violated the  
laws of his religion & that  
he should not be permitted  
to enter the temple unless  
he obtains a "Indohapatruka"  
from the Math & is forwarded, together with its enclosures,

to the Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District

for disposal

By Order,

Secretary

BANGALORE,

.....19.....

[P T. O

Ans.  
19/11/14

~~Mus 107-10-7~~  
 350-11 350-11  
 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ \_\_\_\_\_ ಶಾಖಾಧಿಕಾರಿ

ಪ್ರಜ್ಞಾಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವುದು.

೫೨ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ - ವೈಕೃತ ಪೂರ್ಣಿಮಾ  
 ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶಂಕರಾಚಾರ್ಯ ಮಹರ್ಷಿ  
 ಕೃತವ್ಯಾಚಾರ್ಯ ವೈಕೃತ ಪೂರ್ಣಿಮಾ  
 ಸಂವತ್ಸರ - ವೈಕೃತ ಪೂರ್ಣಿಮಾ  
 ಸಂವತ್ಸರ - ವೈಕೃತ ಪೂರ್ಣಿಮಾ  
 ಸಂವತ್ಸರ - ವೈಕೃತ ಪೂರ್ಣಿಮಾ

~~ಹಕ್ಕು~~ಮಿಸ ಅರ್ಪದ, ಸ್ವ. . . . . ಭರಣೆ . . . . . ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ

..... ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

By Order,

102  
1575711  
7 Secretary.  
RL  
1575711  
C/L 2

From K. Srinivas Rao, Esquire  
Deputy Commissioner  
Shunoga District

To L. S. Balasundaram Dyer, Esq.  
Officiating Secretary to the  
Government of Mysore,  
Muzrai Branch

Dated

Despatched 10<sup>th</sup> November 1911

Received

General No. 124

No. 29

Enclosure No. one file

DIARY No. 776

|                  |
|------------------|
| 10-11-12         |
| SECRETARY Muzrai |
| FILE NO. 350-11  |
| SERIAL No. 3     |

With reference to official memorandum no. 3025 muz 107-10-7 dated 23<sup>rd</sup> May 1911, States that it is ascertained from the Sub Division Officer's docket no 12 dated 11.7.1911 that a criminal complaint against the said Hanumanth the attendant of the temple of ndamard Sri Ranganatha Swami at Devarahalli in Taluk the Channarayana was lodged by his brother, the vernacular record connected to the case is herewith enclosed for perusal and return

Continue note  
13/11/11  
13/11/11

Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
Shimoga District  
Dated 9<sup>th</sup> November 1911

From

K. Srinivasa Rao Esq.  
Deputy Commissioner  
Shimoga

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq. B.A.  
Secretary to Government  
Genl and Revenue Departments  
Muzrai Branch

Sir

With reference to your official  
memorandum no: 3025-Muz 107-10-7  
dated 23-5-1911 forwarding for  
disposal Petition Register no: 1655  
from one Ramabharaya of Chakrahalli  
Bhattabharaya mutt residing at  
Patnageri, Tarikere Taluk stating  
that Hanumanthaya attendant  
of Sri Udamardi Ranganatha-  
swamy Temple at Devarahally  
Chennarayana Taluk, should not be  
permitted to enter the temple without  
a "Sndhapatraka" from the mutt  
having violated the laws of  
religion;

I have the honour

that from the record received with  
the Shriwagashli-Division<sup>officer's</sup> docket no: 12  
dated 11-7-91, it is ascertained  
that a Criminal Complaint -  
against the said Hammānthayya  
was lodged by his brother Rangayya  
in the Amildar Magistrate's Court  
at Chennaperu that the former  
belaboured the latter with a shoe  
and that the accused Hammān-  
thayya was discharged by the  
Court.

2. In his statement before  
the Amildar on 25<sup>th</sup> April 1911 Hamm-  
manthayya states that some  
misunderstanding existing between  
him and his brother Rangayya  
as the latter with one Chinna-  
Krishnayya stole some Coconuts  
from his garden and beat him  
severely when he took objection to  
their conduct, and that  
although this was tolerated by  
him, Rangayya lodged a <sup>Criminal</sup> Complaint  
against him alleging that he

belaboured Rangayya with a shoe when Hammantayya was acquitted by the Court, the petitioner, Rama bhay produced a Complaint petition received by him from Rangayya accusing his brother. of the offence and he advised both the brothers to undergo the purificatory Ceremony "Chakrankitam". Hammantayya says that he agreed to this on sentimental grounds as both the brothers had gone to Court, although he was not to blame in the matter, and that as the petitioner with the intention of favouring the younger brother did not perform the Chakrankitam to Hammantayya at Devarahally, but asked him to go to the Matt in the Tariker Taluk for the purpose as he did not like this distinction made by the petitioner and went to one Jatabhar Chhitaldny who was also a Gurn for the Chakrankitam and got himself branded with the Chakrankitam marks and obtained a Certificate from him to that effect.

3.

The Amildar reports

Atilla of 25-6-1911.

Divisional Officer that when  
Hammānthayya appeared before  
him, the marks of Chakrankitam  
were visible on his arms.

4. As Hammānthayya  
says that Jatabhar of Chetaldroor  
is also a son of his, I request  
to be informed whether in  
accordance with the order passed  
in the last para of Govt Proceedings  
No: 4425-33 dated 14-4-08  
Hammānthayya may be allowed  
to continue in the service of the  
Temple.

Flag X  
(Ref: the  
communication  
misreferred to, No 93-19  
Act

5.

The vernacular records  
bearing on the subject is enclosed  
for reference and oblique return.


I have the honour to be  
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

D. Srinivasan

For Deputy Commissioner  
Shimoga

Atilla



ಪ್ರಾಚೀನ ಕವಿ ರತ್ನಂ. ಬಾ. ಬಾ.  
ರತ್ನಂ ಕವಿ ರತ್ನಂ. ಬಾ. ಬಾ.  
ಕವಿ ರತ್ನಂ. ಬಾ. ಬಾ.

శ్రీ పై నీ రి క్తి దో వర దక్షి  
 యుల్ల రి వ ల ర మ ర తి శ్రీ పం  
 గా డ్ల స్థా మి దో దె ద మ రి బ్లాండ్  
 శాంక ర ద మ మం క్రే య్ ప గో వ మ  
 మ త వ ద్ధ క్తి వీ వి య్ ద వా వి క త  
 ది రి వ ద రి ద శి బ్లాండ్ మ ర  
 క-తొం ద గు ద్ధ వ త్రి వో గి వ  
 వ రి గా తి క ర్న దో దె ద మ ర్న  
 గ ర మ త దం క్తి తి వ్వి వో గి  
 క ర్న గా గి గి వ గా గి గా  
 తి గాం త 1855 గి గి గా  
 తి గా వా క్తి యి దో వో వో  
 గా గి గి వ మ గా తి తి క ర్న  
 గ ర మ తి గాం త క త వ గా గి గా

నడకల తలయ్య.

శిల్పింద పే తలయ్య

బడియ్య ర వత్రి పోయి నయ్య

దవల దిదోగురి నే కందయ్య

యల వ చా పోయి యలయి రవలయ్య. వాళ్ళరింద

~~ఇయల లయ్య వే పోయి నయ్య~~

శత

తల

నయ్య

~~నయ్య లయ్య క నయ్యయింద~~

~~నడియ్య ర వ తలయ్య వయ్య~~

~~దవలయ్యయ్య గుదోయ్య~~

~~వయ్య నయ్య తలయ్య తలయ్యయ్య~~

~~వయ్యయ్యయ్య - వయ్యయ్య~~

~~నయ్య దవలయ్యయ్య నయ్య~~

నయ్య దోయ్య వయ్య నయ్య

నయ్యయ్య నయ్య రవలయ్య

య్య పే తలయ్య తలయ్యయ్య

నయ్య తలయ్యయ్యయ్య తలయ్యయ్య

13.0 19.1.1900

as given

14/1/12 Secretary

Copy to the Dy Commr, Shingor

for returning the record with

his letter no. 124 of 10.11.11

Pro 19.1.1900

22/0/12

NO 1731 - Encl. 2

Mr 350-11-4. 30/1/12